DEGERVED Harold Sandbeck

Page 1 of 2 Pages

Con	AUG 11 1989							
United States Department of the Interior Division For Office Use Only								
Office of Surface Mining Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Rep	IIII CAO O Bassulan da de de de							
mine one Evaluation inspection Rep								
	Y Y M M Batch Report							
2. Name of Permittee	10. Date of Inspection							
	9. MSHA Number (Y Y M M D D)							
3. Street Address								
	11. State Permit Number							
4. City 5. State	12. Name of Mine							
6. Zip Code 7. Area Code 8. Telephone Number 6. Zip Code 7. Area Code 8. Zip Code	13. County Code 14. State Code 15. Strata 16. State Area Office							
	D. Type of Inspection 21. Joint Inspection 22. Inspector's ID Code) Yes No No.							
	TTT X 107							
23. Status								
A Type of Permit	24. Type of Activity (check applicable boxes).							
B A Mine Status (Códe)	A Steep Slope E Anthracite							
C Type of Facility (Code)	B Mountain Top Removal F X Federal Lands							
D O Number of Permitted Acres	C Prime Farmlands G Indian Lands							
E OOOS 17 Number of Disturbed Acres	D Alluvial Valley Floors H Other							
25. Performance sinstructions: Indicate compliance code. For any standard marked 2 or 3	Standards (Codes) provide narrative to support this determination.							
Standards That Limit the Effects to the Permit Area	Standards That Assure Reclamation Quality and Timeliness							
A Distance Prohibitions	M Topsoil Handling							
B Mining Within Permit Boundaries	N Backfilling and Grading							
C Signs and Markers D Sediment Control Measures	O Following Reclamation Schedule							
D Sediment Control Measures E Design and Certification Requirements—	P Revegetation Requirements							
Sediment Control	Q / Disposal of Excess Spoil R / Handling of Acid or Toxic Materials							
F Effluent Limits	S Highwall Elimination							
G Surface Water Monitoring	T Downslope Spoil Disposal							
H Ground Water Monitoring I Blasting Procedures	U Post Mining Land Use							
J i Haul/Access Road Design and Maintenance	V Cessation of Operations: Temporary							
K 3 Refuse Impoundments	W Other							
L Other: Specify								

Page 2 of 2 Pages Umited States Department of the Intel-Office of Surface Mining Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report 27. Date of Inspection (Y Y M M D D) 26. State Permit Number Yes Do mining and reclamation activities on the site comply with the plans in the permit? If no, provide narrative to support this determination. 29. Indicate number of complete and partial inspections conducted by the State to date for this annual review period: **Number of Completes** 29b. **Number of Partials** 30. Indicate number of complete and partial inspections required by the State during this annual review period: **Number of Completes** 30b. **Number of Partials** 31. Has inspection frequency been met? No Yes 31a. **Completes** 31b. 32. FEDERAL ENFORCEMENT INFORMATION. [Enter violation number. Check appropriate box(es)] Ten-Day Notice No. Notice of Violation No. **Violation Codes** Cessation Order No. **Authorizations to Operate** Signs and Markers **Backfilling and Grading Highwall Elimination** Rills and Gullies Improper Fills **Topsoil Handling Sediment Ponds Effluent Limits Water Monitoring Buffer Zones** Roads **Dams** Blasting Revegetation Spoil on the Downslope Mining Without Permit **Exceeding Permit Limits Distance Prohibitions Toxic Materials** Other Violations 33. Name of Authorized Representative (print or type) Signature of Authorized Representative Date (**) Signature of Reviewing Official Date

Genwal Coal Company P.O. Box 1201 Huntington, Utah 84527

Crandall Canyon Mine Utah Permit# 015/032

Random Sample Inspection July 27, 1989

Participants:

Rade H. Orell, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement Albuquerque Field Office (AFO); Harold Sandbeck, Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (DOGM) and Allen Childs, Genwal Coal Company (operator's representative).

Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report:

The Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report (MSEIR) form has been completed to reflect the random sample inspection (RSI). The DOGM representative issued a Notice of Violation (NOV) as a result of the inspection. The NOV is referenced by the number 2 at performance standard code D, Sediment Control Measures on the MSEIR. The NOV is explained in greater detail later in this report. The inspection did not result in the issuance of a Ten-Day Notice.

Introduction:

The inspection included a records review as well as observations of the mine site. We met the operator's representative at the mine office in Huntington before traveling to the mine. We conducted the field inspection first, followed by the records review. The weather was clear to cloudy with rain. Ground conditions varied from dry to wet. I used a Pentax IQ Zoom camera to photograph areas of interest.

Field Inspection:

The field inspection commenced at the upper part of the mine facilities at the powder magazine area and terminated at the lowest topsoil stockpile on the haul road.

Powder Magazine - The inspection of this area indicated that the site

PAGE 2

was generally in good repair at the time of the inspection. We noted that ditch UD-2 (undisturbed diversion) was in need of some minor maintenance at the time of the inspection. While the ditch was not breached or over-topped it was showing some signs of silt deposition. The operator's representative was advised to maintain the structure. The ouslope of this area is being stabilized through vegetation and a sprinkler irrigation plan. The slope appeared stable in regard to vegetation/erosion and the irrigation appears to be beneficial. We continued along the magazine access road to the stilling basin. We found that the basin, straw bales and culvert C-6 were in good repair. The inspection of culvert UD-1 also indicated that the structure was in good repair at the time of the inspection. Diversion ditch DD-1 was also inspected. The DOGM representative advised the operator's representative to maintain the small ditch such that its ability to function is not impaired. We did not observe any breaches or evidence of over-toping of the structure.

Forest Service Parking Lot/Snow Storage Area - This area was the subject of a Ten-Day Notice (TDN) during the last oversight inspection. The TDN was issued for the operator's failure to pass the disturbed drainage through a sediment pond before leaving the permit area. This inspection indicated that the earth material and coal fines that were mixed with snow and stored on the site are no longer present. The operator's representative indicated that the materials were conveyed to the sediment pond for disposal. The representative also indicated that the company has no intentions of using the site for snow storage in the future. This office reminded DOGM by letter, mailed the week of August that the basis for the appropriate finding on the TDN issued during the previous RSI was that the Division would approve SAEs for the areas cited in the notice. As such, DOGM was advised that the AFO expects the issue to be addressed by the Division in a timley manner and that the AFO will programatically monitor the situation.

Portal Area - The inspection of the portal area included observations of the intake portal, the ventillation fan, ditch UD-3 which is actualy a 24 pipe, culvert C-5 and disturbed diversion ditch DD-8. The inspection indicated that the structures were in good repair at the time of our observations.

Lower Pad - For the purposes of this report the lower pad includes the parking/storage area, the truck turnaround, the coal loading area, the various culverts and ditches, the sediment pond and the outslope of the pad. Generally, the culverts, ditches and sediment pond were found to be in good repair at the time of the inspection. The DOGM representative did advise the operator's representative to maintain ditch DD-7. Silt accumulations were evident, the ditch was not breached nor was there evidence of over-topping.

The outslope of the pad was the subject of a TDN during the last random sample inspection. The TDN was issued for the operator's failure to pass disturbed area drainage through a sediment pond before leaving the permit area. In response to the TDN DOGM approved

a Small Area Exemption (SAE) for the area on June 6, 1989. The SAE requires the operator to install silt fence along the slope toe from previously approved SAEs 1 to 3. The silt fence was not istalled at the time of the inspection. Therefore, the DOGM representative issued an NOV to the operator for failure to comply with the approved plan in accordance with UMC 771.19. The NOV is reflected by the number 2 at performance standard code D, Sediment Control on the MSEIR. Code D is referenced as the standard violated because the violation deals with sediment control. The MSEIR form does not contain a standard for the regulation cited by the DOGM representative.

Topsoil Stockpiles - We inspected the three topsoil stockpiles located adjacent to the haul road. The stockpiles are numbered 1 through 3 with #1 being the upper pile. For the purposes of this report they are referred to the upper, middle and lower topsoil stockpiles.

The upper stockpile, #1, is located adjacent to what is identified as the disturbed area where it crosses the road. The disturbed area is marked by a Mine ID sign. The stockpile is also identified by a sign. The soil material appeared stable at the time of the inspection with some minor maintenance needed on the straw bales surrounding the perimeter of the stockpile.

The middle stockpile, #2, straw bales also needed maintenance at the time of the inspection. We did not observe any evidence to suggest that topsoil had been eroded from the pile. The operator's representative was advised to ensure that the straw bales are adequately maintained.

The lower stockpile, #3, was generally in good repair at the time of the inspection with respect to erosion and vegetation. We observed however that the stockpile did not include a straw bale perimeter. The east end of the stockpile included a ditch and small basin on the south side slope toe. The west end of the stockpile was only bounded by large rock on it south side toe. The three stockpiles were also included in the TDN issued during the last RSI and in the SAE subsequently approved by DOGM. We checked the approved plan with respect to measures that would be installed at the stockpiles. The approved plan specifically states the operator will install straw bales around the perimeter of all the stockpiles. Therefore, the DOGM representative included this situation in the NOV referenced above.

Records Review:

The records review included observations and discussion of the sediment pond inspection reports, irrigation records/precipitation records, subsidence monitoring, hydrology monitoring for the first and second quarters of 1989, NPDES quarterly monitoring reports for the same two quarters, construction certifications for the sediment pond, certificate of liability, and a review of the approved plan for

the SAEs referenced above.

Close-Out:

The close-out meeting focused on the NOV issued by DOGM, and a discussion of the situation at the Forest Service Parking Lot/Snow Storage Area. I advised the DOGM representative as well as the operator's representative that I would relay the current status of the site, as described above, to management in AFO upon my return to the office. I also indicated that a decision regarding a course of action would be rendered at that time. As stated above AFO management determined on August 8 that DOGM should be reminded by letter about the TDN issued during the previous RSI and why the Division's response was found appropriate.

RANDOM SAMPLE MEIR SUPPLEMENT

1. Permittee	LANGE C	al Con	MEGGAM	WINDOW SAL	PLE MEIR S			•			<i>(</i>	
1. Permittee GRAWAD Cong Company 2. Permit Number 015 632						5. Days since Last State Complete Inspection (LSCI) 76						
3. Joint Inspection \(\frac{1}{2} \text{Y/N} \) 4. Date \(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{27}{27} - \frac{89}{27} \)					 ହେ	6. Block 25 Categories in NON-COMPLIANCE this RSI						
**********					<u> </u>	7. Total	Violations	this RSI			•	
3) observa	e) all violati State enforcemed in the LSCI ed during this eg during this	ent was red report but	· cue atat	e lailed	ring the I	LSCI;	********	*******	*******	**************************************	**************************************	
LAW / REGULATIO	TATE N VIOLATED M(G/T //2	BLOCK 25 CATEGORY	C ABATED (y/n)	D STATE ACTION	E REASON IF UNCITED	•	G SERIOU PEO	H	I OSMRE	J	ĸ	
1 / / <u>un</u>	C/77/0/19 Description	D	7	171		निम	2	2	ACTION	OPTI	ONAL	
2 / /	_ / /		T_T	TT	T				·		I	
3 /_ /	Description /	H:	T T	··	''	'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ll	11			
	Pescription	ا ـــــا د:ا	'	11								
4 / /	Percription	!I		TT	1	TT		TT				
5 / /	//		TT	T	Т	TT-	T T		''	·	 	
6 / /	Pescription /			·	'			11		ll		
	Bescription	·		11				1	1	7	TI	
7 / /	// Pescription:			11	TT	T_T	T_T					
8 / /	•			T	T		1 1	· ·	''	·	 	
9 / /	Description:	T		·	·	 	·	II				
	Pescription:				1				T	1		
10 /	/ /				TT	TT		T	T		 1	
STATE ACTION 1) Existed on LSCI, cited	STATE'S REASON FOR NOT	CITUS UM ATION	CAUSES	****************	0000.00		'					
2) Existed on LSCI, not cited 3) Cited Prior to LSCI, Batement Pending 4) Occurred since LSCI	(PFTER DISCUSSION U 1 > Not a Violation 2 > Precluded by State 3 > Not sociuded under	Palicy State Presses	1) Permit Di	leather Condition Il Maiver	2) Lite	OF Unitely	IMPRCT Damage Remains Wi 1 > None or 2 > Rederate	Riser	2	OSMRE ACTION Deferred to Stat TDN research HE-CO research	e Action	
	4) Marning given in it 5) Violation not recog 6) Practice allowed un	Bizad (accord)	5) Other:	*************	3) Occur	reg .	3) Consider Danage Extends Be 4) Hone or (road the Perait	4 : Area	Previously Cited (Abatement	Pendine	
· ·	7) Too minor to cite 8) Morting with Operat 9) Other:				•		5 > Hoderate 6 > Considera	able	•	Abated during or OSMRE las	perare Pection	
	, semeseemest						Obstruction to Eni 7 > Hone or A 8 > Hoderate	liner				
<u> </u>					·		9) Considera	ala	•	•		